

No. 9193-4Lab-75/25229.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sound-Z-Union (P.) Ltd., Anangpur Industrial Estate, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN,
PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 105 of 1974

between

SHRI S. S. KAMAL, WORKMAN AND
THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SOUND-
Z-UNION (P.) LTD., ANANGPUR
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,
FARIDABAD

Present :

Shri Prithipal Singh for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/894-74/30308, dated 26th August, 1974, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Sound-Z-Union Private Limited, Anangpur Industrial Estate, Faridabad and its workman Shri S. S. Kamal to this Tribunal in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri S. S. Kamal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The notices of reference being sent for service to the parties, the management

did not put in appearance before me despite being served with the same a number of times. They were thus proceeded with *ex parte*.

The workman made his own statement to-day on solemn affirmation in support of his case while giving out that his services were terminated without enquiry and without service of notice upon him in this connection.

I see no reason to disbelieve the statement of the workman, particularly when the management has not taken care to appear and defend his notice of demands or to refute his case before me.

I thus relying on the statement of the workman and in absence of evidence for the management holding that the order of the termination of the services of the workman was illegal, unjustified and improper and that as a result thereof the workman is entitled to reinstatement and full back wages with continuity of his services. The award is made accordingly.

Dated the 5th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 1323, dated 6th August, 1975.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 5th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 22nd August, 1975

No. 9554-4Lab-75/25899.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Joga Metal Works, Jagadhry.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 19 of 1974

between

SHRI KARTARA RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JOGA METAL WORKS,
JAGADHRI

Present:

Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish for the workman.

Shri Subhash Chander for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/247-B-73/5578-82, dated 5th March, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Joga Metal Works Jagadhri and its workman, Shri Kartara Ram was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kartara Ram was justified and in order? If, not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices of the reference having been issued to the parties, Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish representative for the workman and Shri Subhash Chander for the management were present before me on 11th August, 1975. They have filed a settlement alleged to have been arrived at between the parties on 1st June, 1975. I have carefully seen this settlement made in writing. The representative of the parties have made their statements before me affirming this settlement exhibited by me as T. 1, whereby the management had agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 125 to the workman in full and final satisfaction of his claims. Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish representative for the workman has stated that the latter has actually received the amount and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication.

I now, therefore, in view of the written settlement Ex. T. 1 arrived at between the parties and duly signed by them and the statements of their representatives while holding that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication return a no dispute award. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 12th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1922, dated 14th August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9553-4Lab-75/25913.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Joga Metal Works, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 18 of 1974

between

SHRI KALI DASS AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JOGA METAL WORKS
JAGADHRI

Present:—

Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish for the workman.

Shri Subhash Chander for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/247-A-73/5376-80, dated 4th March, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Joga Metal Works, Jagadhri and its workman

Shri Kali Dass was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kali Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices of the reference having been issued to the parties, Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish representative for the workman and Shri Subhash Chander for the managements are present before me on 11th August, 1975. They have filed a settlement alleged to have been arrived at between the parties on 1st June, 1975. I have carefully seen this settlement made in writing. The representatives of the parties have made their statements before me affirming this settlement exhibited by me as T. I. whereby the management had agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 225 to the workman in full and final satisfaction of his claims. Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish representative for the workman has stated that the latter has actually received the amount and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication.

I now, therefore, in view of the written settlement Ex. T. I arrived at between the parties and duly signed by them and the statements of their representatives while holding that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication return a no dispute award. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated the 12th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1921, dated 14th August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL, JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 22 अगस्त, 1975

क्रमांक 1094-ज(I)-75/25849.—श्री चन्दगी राम, पुत्र श्री पिरदान, गांव कुशनपुरा, तहसील भिवानी (अब लोहाल) जिला हिसार (अब भिवानी) की दिनांक 11 अप्रैल, 1974 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2 (ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं कि श्री चन्दगी राम की मूल्य 150 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 3433-आर(4)-67/2690, दिनांक 5 अगस्त, 1967 तथा 5041-आर-III-70/29505, दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती पतौरी देवी के नाम खरीफ, 1974 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

यशवन्त कुमार जैन,

विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, हरियाणा सरकार,
राजस्व विभाग।

DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT

The 26th August, 1975

No. 2395-E. C. III-75/7334.—In continuation of Government Notifications Nos. 822-EC-I-74/2648, dated the 10th April, 1974 and 2027-EC-III-74/4577, dated the 15th July, 1974, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint Kanwar Rajender Singh, Advocate, Ballabgarh, as member, State Advisory Committee for the Development and Panchayat Department, in place of Late Kanwar Gurdit Singh.

V. K. SIBAL,

Commissioner & Secy.

Chandigarh, dated
the 18th August, 1975.